arXiv:hep-ph/9909444v2 20 Dec 1999

Electromagnetic Transitions of Heavy Baryons in the $SU(2N_f) \otimes O(3)$ Symmetry

Salam Tawfiq [†], J.G. Körner [‡] and Patrick J. O'Donnell [†]

[†] *Department of Physics, University of Toronto*
60 St. George Street, Toronto Ontario, M5S 1A7, Canada

[‡] *Institut für Physik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität*
Staudinger Weg 7, D-55099 Mainz, Germany

May 1999

Abstract

Radiative decays of heavy baryons are analyzed within the Heavy Quark Symmetry (HQS). It is shown that employing the light-diquark symmetries, the number of electromagnetic couplings among S-wave and P-wave states as well as those between P-wave to S-wave transitions can be reduced significantly. Using this constituent quark model picture the phenomenological implications of some of these decay modes are, also, discussed.

1 Introduction

The strong decays are expected to dominate the branching rates of charmed baryons. In fact, most of the experimentally discovered channels of ground state charmed baryons are the one- and two- pion transitions [1]. In a recent paper, CLEO [2] presents the first observation of the two narrow resonances decaying to $\Xi_c^+\gamma$ and $\Xi_c^0\gamma$. The mass differences $M(\Xi_c^+\gamma) - M(\Xi_c^+)$ and $M(\Xi_c^0\gamma) - M(\Xi_c^0)$ are measured to be (107.8 ± 3.2) MeV/c^2 and (107.0 ± 3.9) MeV/c^2 respectively. These two states are identified as $\Xi_c^{+\prime}$ and $\Xi_c^{+\prime}$ which are the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ partners of the already observed Ξ_c^* states with spin-$\frac{3}{2}$. Since the masses of $\Xi_c^{'}$ states are below threshold for the decay to $\Xi_c\pi$, they will decay electromagnetically. Similar observations are expected also for some of the orbitally excited resonances.

The recent observations of CLEO confirm the importance of the radiative channels even though their contributions to some heavy baryon widths are relatively small compared to other decay modes. The other important fact is that, the electromagnetic decay rates of some of the heavy baryons can be used to determine some other couplings. The one-loop branching fraction $Br(\Xi_c^{0*} \to \Xi_c^0\gamma) = \Gamma_\gamma/\Gamma_\pi$, for instance, is directly related [3, 4] to the strong coupling $g_{\mathbf{66}\pi}$ or $g2$ as it is usually called in Heavy Hadron Chiral Perturbation Theory (HHCPT). Hence an accurate measurement of Ξ_c^{0*} electromagnetic decay fraction will allow the prediction of $g2$, which enters in many heavy baryon loop calculations. Although the electromagnetic strength is weaker than that of the strong interaction, radiative channels are not phase space suppressed as in the case of pion transitions. Therefore, some radiative decay modes are expected to contribute significantly to some heavy baryon branching fractions.

Radiative decays among ground states of heavy hadrons within the framework of HHCPT were presented in [3, 4, 5, 6]. It has been shown that electromagnetic interactions of heavy mesons contains only one coupling constant while heavy baryons transitions are determined in terms of two independent coupling constants. Moreover, based on the same theory, a small enhancement in the $\Sigma_Q^* \to \Lambda_Q\, \gamma$ radiative decay amplitudes was predicted [7] due to an electric quadrapole $E2$ interactions. On the other hand, electromagnetic transitions of excited Λ_Q baryons were analyzed in the (HHCPT) [8] and in the bound state picture [9]. They show that the charmed baryons decay channels are severely suppressed, however, the bottom baryons may have significant branching ratios. Some interesting predictions for charmed baryons within the frame of a relativistic quark model (RTQM) are presented in ref. [10]. Finally, the light-cone QCD sum rules in the leading order of HQET were also used [11] to analyze radiative decays of heavy baryons.

In a number of recent papers [12, 13] it was shown that, more phenomenological predictions can be achieved if Heavy Quark Symmetry (HQS) is supplemented by the light diquark $SU(2N_f) \times O(3)$ symmetry, with N_f being the number of light flavors. In fact, the large number of HQS couplings, both the weak and strong couplings, are reduced to few effective quark couplings. In this work we shall follow the same constituent quark model picture to describe radiative transitions among heavy baryon states. This becomes simple and justified since the radiative decay mechanism is quite similar, for instance, to that of single-pion transitions employed in [12]. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In the next section, we provide the HQS predictions for heavy baryon ground states and P-wave to S-wave electromagnetic transitions. In section 3, we show how the light-diquark symmetries can be used to relate the various HQS electromagnetic coupling to a few constituent quark model effective couplings. The decay rates of some charmed and bottom baryons radiative modes are predicted in Sec.4. We summarize our conclusions in Sec.5, while in the Appendix we give the detailed analysis for electromagnetic transitions among P-wave states.

2 HQS Matrix Elements

To leading order in the heavy quark limit, the heavy baryon spin wave function can be written in the simple covariant and general form

$$\Psi^{B_Q}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(v,q) = (\phi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_j}(v,q))_{\alpha\beta}(\psi^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_j}(v))_\gamma \ . \qquad (1)$$

Here, j refer to the spin of the diquark system, v is the velocity of the heavy baryon and q represents the photon momentum. In Eq. (1), we have kept the Dirac indices α, β and γ, while the flavor and color indices, which can easily be included, are omitted. Details of the formalism to construct these functions, for arbitrary orbital angular momentum, can be found in [14, 15]. The diquark spin wave functions $(\phi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_j}(v))_{\alpha\beta}$ for S-wave and P-wave states of heavy baryons are listed in table 1. In this table, we distinguish between two kind of excitations, namely the K- and k-excitations which, respectively, correspond to *symmetric* and *antisymmetric* states when interchanging the light diquark indices. These, actually, can be identified as the canonical momenta of the well know λ- and ρ- coordinates successfully used to determine the w charmed baryon spectrum [16].

The heavy quark spin wave functions $(\psi^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_j}(v))_\gamma$ in Eq. (1) can be interpreted as a "superfield" corresponding to the two heavy quark symmetry degenerate states with spin $j-1/2$ and $j+1/2$. It is generally written in terms of the Dirac spinor u and the Rarita-Schwinger spinor u_μ. The spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ ground state Λ_Q field is simply given by

$$(\psi)_\gamma = u_\gamma, \qquad (2)$$

a similar form can be used to describe the heavy quark field of P-wave state Σ_{Q0}. The Σ_Q, which are spin-1/2 and 3/2 degenerate are described by the superfield

$$(\psi_\mu)_\gamma = \left\{ \begin{array}{r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\gamma^\perp_\mu\gamma_5 u \\ u_\mu \end{array} \right\}_\gamma \qquad (3)$$

Table 1: S-wave and P-wave heavy baryons covariant diquark spin wave functions. Here, we have $\chi^0 = (\not{v} + 1)\gamma_5 C$ and $\chi^{1,\mu} = (\not{v} + 1)\gamma_\perp^\mu C$, with $C = i\gamma_0\gamma_2$ and $\gamma_{\perp\mu} = \gamma_\mu - \not{v}v_\mu$.

	j^P	$\phi_{\alpha\beta}$
S-wave states		
Λ_Q	0^+	$(\chi^0)_{\alpha\beta}$
Σ_Q	1^+	$(\chi^{1,\mu})_{\alpha\beta}$
Symmetric P-wave states		
Λ_{Q1}	1^-	$(\chi^0 K_\perp^\mu)_{\alpha\beta}$
Σ_{Q0}	0^-	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\chi^{1,\mu} K_{\perp\mu})_{\alpha\beta}$
Σ_{Q1}	1^-	$\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\delta}\chi^{1,\nu} K_\perp^\rho v^\delta)_{\alpha\beta}$
Σ_{Q2}	2^-	$\frac{1}{2}(\{\chi^{1,\mu_1} K_\perp^{\mu_2}\}_0)_{\alpha\beta}$
Antisymmetric P-wave states		
Σ_{Q1}	1^-	$(\chi^0 k_\perp^\mu)_{\alpha\beta}$
Λ_{Q0}	0^-	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\chi^{1,\mu} k_{\perp\mu})_{\alpha\beta}$
Λ_{Q1}	1^-	$\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\delta}\chi^{1,\nu} k_\perp^\rho v^\delta)_{\alpha\beta}$
Λ_{Q2}	2^-	$\frac{1}{2}(\{\chi^{1,\mu_1} k_\perp^{\mu_2}\}_0)_{\alpha\beta}$

The two P-wave degenerate states Λ_{Q1} and Σ_{Q1} heavy quark superfields are also given by Eq. (3). Finally, the Σ_{Q2} superfield can be written as

$$(\psi_{\mu 1 \mu 2})_\gamma = \left\{ \begin{array}{r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}\{\gamma_{\mu 1}^\perp \gamma_5 u_{\mu 2}\}_0 \\ u_{\mu 1 \mu 2} \end{array} \right\}_\gamma . \tag{4}$$

Here, $\{\gamma_{\mu 1}^\perp \gamma_5 u_{\mu 2}\}_0$ represents a traceless symmetric tensor.

To leading order in the limit $m_Q \to \infty$, HQS requires the photon to couple to the light diquark only, while the heavy quark propagates without being affected by the photon emission. Therefore, electromagnetic decays proceed through interactions that change the spin of the light degrees of freedom. Then, as a consequence of HQS, the photon orbital momentum relative to the diquark l_γ is identical to that relative to the baryon L_γ. Therefore, radiative transition amplitudes between heavy baryons take the form

$$\begin{aligned} M^\gamma &= \langle \gamma(q), B_{Q2}(v) \mid T \mid B_{Q1}(v) \rangle \\ &= \mathcal{H}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{j_1}}_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{j_2}} \mathcal{M}^{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{j_2}}_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{j_1}} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\mathcal{H}(v)$ and $\mathcal{M}(v,q)$, respectively, represents the heavy quark and the diquark transition matrix elements and j_1 and j_2 are the diquark spin degrees of freedom in the initial and final heavy baryons. In the heavy quark limit, $H(v)$ is a function of the heavy quark velocity which is identical to the baryon velocity v and can be constructed in terms of the superfields defined earlier. The tensors $\mathcal{M}(v,q)$, which are also a function of the photon momentum q, are constructed to ensure HQS, parity and gauge invariance. For simplicity and to display the similarity with one-pion transitions, they can be written in terms of multipole amplitudes with multipolarity 2^{J_γ} which correspond to a specific photon angular momentum J_γ. Their contributions to the decay rates are proportional to $\mid \vec{q} \mid^{2J_\gamma+1}$, with $\vec{q}$ being the photon momentum in the centre of mass of the decaying baryon.

As can be seen from Table (2), HQS predicts that S-wave to S-wave heavy baryon electromagnetic transitions are described in terms of **three** independent couplings g_1, g_2 and f_2. And there are **eleven** such couplings for transition from each of the symmetric and antisymmetric P-wave to S-wave states. The HQS matrix elements of these transitions are summarized in Tables 2 and 3. Furthermore, as will be discussed in the Appendix, each of the P-wave to P-wave transitions, diagonal $(K \rightarrow K)$ or $(k \rightarrow k)$ and off diagonal $(k \rightarrow K)$, are determined in terms of **fifteen** couplings. Their HQS matrix elements are given in Tables 3.

Table 2: Electromagnetic transition amplitudes for S-wave to S-wave and symmetric P-wave to S-wave heavy baryon states as predicted by HQS. Here, photons are in definite electric (EJ_γ) or magnetic (MJ_γ) multipoles state. Similar amplitudes can be written for antisymmetric P-wave to S-wave heavy baryons with new couplings $f_i^{(k)}$.

$B_Q \to B'_Q + \gamma$	Multipole state	$\mathcal{H}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{j_1}}_{\nu_1\cdots\nu_{j_2}}(v)$	$\mathcal{M}^{\nu_1\cdots\nu_{j_2}}_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{j_1}}(v,q)$
$\Sigma_Q \to \Lambda_Q$	$M1$	$\bar{\psi}(v)\psi^\mu(v)$	$ig_1\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta}g_{\mu\alpha}v_\beta$
$\Sigma_Q \to \Sigma_Q$	$M1$,$E2$	$\bar{\psi}^\nu(v)\psi^\mu(v)$	$g_2F_{\alpha\beta}g^\alpha_\mu g^\beta_\nu+$ $f_2F_{\alpha\beta}(2q_\mu g^\alpha_\nu v^\beta + v\cdot q g^\alpha_\mu g^\beta_\nu)$
$B_{Qi} \to B'_Q + \gamma$	K-multiplet		
$\Lambda_{Q1} \to \Lambda_Q$	$E1$	$\bar{\psi}(v)\psi^\mu(v)$	$f_1^{(K)}F_{\alpha\beta}g^\alpha_\mu v^\beta$
$\Lambda_{Q1} \to \Sigma_Q$	$E1$,$M2$	$\bar{\psi}^\nu(v)\psi^\mu(v)$	$if_2^{(K)}\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta}g_{\mu\alpha}g^\beta_\nu+$ $ig_2^{(K)}\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta}(2q_\mu g_{\nu\alpha}v_\beta + v\cdot q g_{\mu\alpha}g_{\nu\beta})$
$\Sigma_{Q0} \to \Sigma_Q$	$E1$	$\bar{\psi}^\mu(v)\psi(v)$	$f_3^{(K)}F_{\alpha\beta}g^\alpha_\mu v^\beta$
$\Sigma_{Q1} \to \Lambda_Q$	$E1$	$\bar{\psi}(v)\psi^\mu(v)$	$f_4^{(K)}F_{\alpha\beta}g^\alpha_\mu v^\beta$
$\Sigma_{Q1} \to \Sigma_Q$	$E1$,$M2$	$\bar{\psi}^\nu(v)\psi^\mu(v)$	$if_5^{(K)}\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta}g_{\mu\alpha}g_{\nu\beta}+$ $ig_5^{(K)}\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta}(2q_\mu g_{\nu\alpha}v_\beta + v\cdot q g_{\mu\alpha}g_{\nu\beta})$
$\Sigma_{Q2} \to \Lambda_Q$	$M2$	$\bar{\psi}(v)\psi^{\mu_1\mu_2}(v)$	$ig_6^{(K)}\tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta}q_{\mu_1}g_{\mu_2\alpha}v_\beta$
$\Sigma_{Q2} \to \Sigma_Q$	$E1, M2,$ $E3$	$\bar{\psi}^\nu(v)\psi^{\mu_1\mu_2}(v)$	$f_7^{(K)}F_{\alpha\beta}g^\alpha_{\mu_1}g_{\mu_2\nu}v^\beta+$ $g_7^{(K)}F_{\alpha\beta}(2q_{\mu_2}g^\alpha_{\mu_1}g^\beta_\nu + v\cdot q g_{\mu_2\nu}g^\alpha_{\mu_1}v^\beta)$ $+f_8^{(K)}F_{\alpha\beta}[q_{\mu_2}(q_\nu g^\alpha_{\mu_1} + q_{\mu_1}g^\alpha_\nu)v^\beta+$ $(v\cdot q)\{q_{\mu_2}g^\alpha_{\mu_1}g^\beta_\mu + (v\cdot q)g_{\mu_2\nu}g^\alpha_{\mu_1}v^\beta\}]$

3 Constituent Quark Model Couplings

As it was shown in the previous section, there are many HQS couplings required to described S-wave and P-wave heavy baryons radiative transitions. In fact, there are at least forty such couplings which are summarized in Tables 2 and 3. Therefore, even to leading order in heavy quark symmetry limit there are only few phenomenological predictions that can be made regarding the decay rates of these modes. This represents the main motivation to try and go beyond HQS by using symmetries of the light-diquark system. We shall try to achieve that by employing a constituent quark model with an underlying $SU(2N_f) \otimes O(3)$ diquark symmetry. These extra symmetries relate the large number of HQS couplings to just few effective constituent couplings.

The diquark decay amplitudes for a $\{j_1\} \to \{j_2\} + \gamma$ transition can be written as

$$\mathcal{M}^{\nu_1\nu_2\cdots\nu_{j_2}}_{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_{j_1}} = \left(\bar{\phi}^{\nu_1\nu_2\cdots\nu_{j_2}}\right)^{AB} (\mathcal{O})^{A'B'}_{AB} \left(\phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_{j_1}}\right)_{A'B'} \ . \qquad (6)$$

Here $\mathcal{O}$ is an effective electromagnetic transition operator and ($A \equiv (\alpha; a; i)$) α is Dirac index, a is a flavor index and i refers to the color index. Once again, flavor and color indices will be omitted since they are irrelevant in our case and can easily be included later on in the decay rate formulae. When analyzing transitions among higher resonance states, it is more convenient to rewrite the diquark system spin wave functions $(\phi)_{\alpha\beta}$ of Table 1 such that the angular momentum excitations K and k are factorized which are then absorbed in the transition effective operator. One then writes

$$\left(\phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_j}\right)_{\alpha\beta} = \left(\phi^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_L}_{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_j}\right)_{\alpha\beta} q_{\lambda_1} q_{\lambda_2} \cdots q_{\lambda_L} \ , \qquad (7)$$

where each of the q_{λ_i}, which corresponds to either K or k, represents one unit of the light diquark angular momentum excitation L_i. Therefore, the matrix elements in Eq. (6) can be rewritten in the general form

$$\mathcal{M}^{\nu_1\nu_2\cdots\nu_{j_2}}_{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_{j_1}} = \left(\bar{\phi}^{\nu_1\nu_2\cdots\nu_{j_2}}_{\eta_1\eta_2\cdots\eta_{L_2}}\right)^{\alpha\beta} \left(\mathcal{O}^{\eta_1\eta_2\cdots\eta_{L_2}}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_{L_1}}(q)\right)^{\alpha'\beta'}_{\alpha\beta} \left(\phi^{\lambda_1\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_{L_1}}_{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_{j_1}}\right)_{\alpha'\beta'} \ , \qquad (8)$$

with L_1 and L_2 being the initial- and final-diquark states angular excitations, respectively.

The explicit form of the effective operators $\mathcal{O}^{\eta_1\eta_2\cdots\eta_{L_2}}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_{L_1}}(q_\perp)$ will mainly depend on the multipolarity involved in the decay process. These N-body operators conserve parity and are gauge invariant; they should also project out the appropriate partial wave amplitudes involved in the transition. In general, $\mathcal{O}^{\eta_1\eta_2\cdots\eta_{L_2}}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_{L_1}}(q)$ are constructed from the Dirac matrices, the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, the baryon velocity v_α, the photon momentum q, and the electromagnetic tensor $F_{\alpha\beta}$ or its dual $\tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\delta\rho}F^{\delta\rho}$.

In the constituent quark model and as required by HQS, the photon is emitted by one of the light quarks forming the heavy baryon diquark state. Therefore, the total heavy baryon electromagnetic coupling is the sum of the photon coupling to each of the light constituent quarks. As in pion transitions, one expects that the leading contributions to the quark-pion effective operator $\mathcal{O}^{\eta_1\eta_2\cdots\eta_{L_2}}_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_{L_1}}(q)$ are those from one-body operators. These assumptions are confirmed by the $1/N_c$ expansion which shows that two-body strong transition operators are non leading for pion couplings to S-wave heavy baryons and can be neglected in the constituent quark model approach [17, 18]. On the other hand, the situation for P-wave states in the $1/N_c$ expansion is still not clear. An explicit numerical analysis of the P-wave to S-wave strong decays [17] showed that the coefficients of the two-body operators are small. However, in ref.[18] it was shown that two-body operators for S-wave pion couplings to P-wave states are not suppressed with respect to one-body operators but are nevertheless proportional to them. Here, we shall consider one-body operators only and leave the effect of two-body operators for a future work.

Radiative transitions of S-wave to S-wave states are induced by magnetic dipole $M1$ and electric quadrapole $E2$ interactions. Therefore, the appropriate and unique effective magnetic dipole operator, with decay constant g_{M1}, that couples the photon to the constituent quarks can be written as

$$\left(\mathcal{O}^{M_1}\right)^{\alpha\beta}_{\alpha'\beta'} = i\frac{g_{M1}}{2}\left\{\mu_{q_1}(\sigma^{\delta\rho})^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}\otimes(1\!\!1)^{\beta}_{\beta'} + \mu_{q_2}(1\!\!1)^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}\otimes(\sigma^{\delta\rho})^{\beta}_{\beta'}\right\} F_{\delta\rho}\ , \qquad (9)$$

where $\mu_{qi} = e_{qi}\frac{e}{2m_q}$ is the magnetic moment of the effective light quark. Since we are considering real photons, there is in fact no one-body operator that can be constructed to describe electric quadrapole $E2$ transitions. Therefore, $E2$ radiative transitions, among ground state heavy baryons, are either forbidden or they are due to two-body interactions and will be suppressed. A two-body $E2$ operator of vector-type gives no contribution to the radiative decay matrix elements. However, an axial-vector type interaction operator does contribute and has the form

$$\left(\mathcal{O}^{E2}\right)^{\alpha\beta}_{\alpha'\beta'} = \frac{f_{E2}}{2}\left\{\mu_{q_1}(\gamma^{\delta}\gamma_5)^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}\otimes(\gamma^{\rho}\gamma_5)^{\beta}_{\beta'} + \mu_{q_2}(\gamma^{\rho}\gamma_5)^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}\otimes(\gamma^{\delta}\gamma_5)^{\beta}_{\beta'}\right\}$$
$$\left[q_\rho F_{\delta\eta}v^\eta + (v\cdot q)\ F_{\delta\rho}\right] \qquad (10)$$

Next, we consider radiative transitions from P-wave excited states to the ground S-wave states which correspond to $E1$, $M2$ and $E3$ transitions. The relevant effective one-body operators have the form

$$\left(\mathcal{O}^{E1}_{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha\beta}_{\alpha'\beta'} = i\frac{f^{(K)}_{E1}}{2}\left\{\mu_{q_1}(\sigma^{\delta\rho})^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}\otimes(1\!\!1)^{\beta}_{\beta'} + \mu_{q_2}(1\!\!1)^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}\otimes(\sigma^{\delta\rho})^{\beta}_{\beta'}\right\} F_{\rho\eta}g_{\delta\lambda}v^\eta \quad , \qquad (11)$$

$$\left(\mathcal{O}^{M2}_{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha\beta}_{\alpha'\beta'} = i\frac{g^{(K)}_{M2}}{2}\left\{\mu_{q_1}(\sigma^{\delta\rho})^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}\otimes(1\!\!1)^{\beta}_{\beta'} + \mu_{q_2}(1\!\!1)^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}\otimes(\sigma^{\delta\rho})^{\beta}_{\beta'}\right\}$$
$$\left[2q_\rho F_{\delta\lambda} + (v\cdot q)\ F_{\rho\eta}g_{\delta\lambda}v^\eta\right]\ . \qquad (12)$$

$$\left(\mathcal{O}^{E3}_{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha\beta}_{\alpha'\beta'} = i\frac{f^{(K)}_{E3}}{2}\left\{\mu_{q_1}(\sigma^{\delta\rho})^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}\otimes(1\!\!1)^{\beta}_{\beta'} + \mu_{q_2}(1\!\!1)^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}\otimes(\sigma^{\delta\rho})^{\beta}_{\beta'}\right\}$$
$$\left[q_\rho(2q_\lambda F^{\eta}_{\delta}v^\eta + (v\cdot q)\ F_{\rho\eta}g_{\delta\lambda}v^\eta) + (v\cdot q)^2\ F_{\rho\eta}g_{\delta\lambda}v^\eta)\right] \qquad (13)$$

Transitions involving $J_\gamma = 3$, however, are expected to be suppressed and give practically no contribution to the electromagnetic decay rate. The appropriate effective couplings $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda\xi}$ for electromagnetic transitions among P-wave states are presented in the appendix.

As we mentioned before, k-multiplet P-wave spin wave functions are antisymmetric under the exchange of the light quark indices while those of the k-multiplet are symmetric. Therefore, it is possible to use these symmetries in writing the appropriate k-multiplet photon transition operators from those given in Eqns. (11-13) for the K-multiplet. One simply replaces the plus sign, in the curly parenthesis, by a minus sign and the coupling constants $f_{Ei}^{(K)}$ and $g_{Mi}^{(K)}$ by the new couplings $f_{Ei}^{(k)}$ and $g_{Mi}^{(k)}$ in the corresponding operators $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{E1}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{M2}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}^{E3}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda\zeta}^{M1}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda\zeta}^{E2}$.

The last step is to evaluate the matrix elements in Eq. (8) using the corresponding operators $\mathcal{O}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda\zeta}$ along with the light-diquark spin wave functions of table 1. After a little bit of algebra, the radiative transition matrix elements for S-wave to S-wave, P-wave to S-wave and P-wave to P-wave heavy baryon states are calculated. Neglecting flavor factors, one obtains relations for the electromagnetic coupling constants among heavy baryon states. Defining

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^{(+)} &= (\frac{e_{q_1}}{2m_{q_1}} + \frac{e_{q_2}}{2m_{q_2}})\\ \mu^{(-)} &= (\frac{e_{q_1}}{2m_{q_1}} - \frac{e_{q_2}}{2m_{q_2}}),\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

with e_{q_i} and m_{q_i} being the charge and the mass of the light quark, we obtain

S-wave to S-wave:

$$\begin{aligned}g_1 &= \mu^{(-)} g_{M1}\\ g_2 &= \mu^{(+)} g_{M1}.\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

P-wave (K-multiplet) to S-wave:

$$f_1^{(K)} = 0 \ , \ f_2^{(K)} = \frac{1}{2}\mu^{(+)} f_{E1}^{(K)} \ , \ f_3^{(K)} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\mu^{(+)} f_{E1}^{(K)} \ , \ f_4^{(K)} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mu^{(-)} f_{E1}^{(K)} \ ,$$

$$f_5^{(K)} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\mu^{(+)} f_{E1}^{(K)} \ , \ f_7^{(K)} = -\frac{1}{2}\mu^{(+)} f_{E1}^{(K)} \ , \ f_8^{(K)} = -\frac{1}{2}\mu^{(+)} f_{E3}^{(K)} \ , \tag{16}$$

and

$$g_2^{(K)} - \mu^{(+)} g_{M2}^{(K)} \ , \ g_5^{(K)} = \sqrt{2}\mu^{(+)} g_{M2}^{(K)} \ ,$$
$$g_6^{(K)} = \mu^{(-)} g_{M2}^{(K)} \ , \ g_7^{(K)} = -\mu^{(+)} g_{M2}^{(K)} \tag{17}$$

P-wave to P-wave (K-multiplet) :

$$F_1^{(K)} = 0 \ , \ F_3^{(K)} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mu^{(+)} F_{E2}^{(K)} \ , \ F_5^{(K)} = \frac{1}{4}\mu^{(+)} F_{E2}^{(K)} \ , \ F_6^{(K)} = -\frac{1}{2}\mu^{(+)} F_{E2}^{(K)} \ ,$$
$$F_7^{(K)} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\mu^{(+)} F_{E2}^{(K)} \ , \ F_8^{(K)} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\mu^{(+)} F_{E2}^{(K)} \ , \ F_9^{(K)} = -\frac{1}{2}\mu^{(+)} F_{E2}^{(K)} \tag{18}$$

and

$$G_1^{(K)} = 0 \ , \ G_2^{(K)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\mu^{(-)} G_{M1}^{(K)} \ , \ G_3^{(K)} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\mu^{(+)} G_{M1}^{(K)} \ ,$$
$$G_4^{(K)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\mu^{(+)} G_{M1}^{(K)} \ , \ G_5^{(K)} = -\frac{1}{4}\mu^{(+)} G_{M1}^{(K)} \ , \ G_6^{(K)} = -\frac{1}{2}\mu^{(+)} G_{M1}^{(K)} \ ,$$
$$G_8^{(K)} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\mu^{(+)} G_{M1}^{(K)} \ , \ G_9^{(K)} = \frac{1}{2}\mu^{(+)} G_{M1}^{(K)} \tag{19}$$

One can obtain the same relations for transitions from the k-multiplet P-wave states to the ground state with the exchange $\mu^{(-)} \leftrightarrow \mu^{(+)}$.

The first and important prediction is that, assuming one-body interactions, S-wave to S-wave electromagnetic transitions are determined in terms of a *single* coupling constant, namely g_{M1}. Using the appropriate charges and masses of the light quarks in Eq. (14), the radiative coupling g_2 is expected to be suppressed by a factor of *three* with respect to the coupling g_1. One has a total number of *six* independent couplings, $f_{E1}^{(K)}$, $g_{M2}^{(K)}$ and $f_{E3}^{(K)}$ for transitions from the symmetric P-wave to S-wave states and $f_{E1}^{(k)}$, $g_{M2}^{(k)}$ and $f_{E3}^{(k)}$ for antisymmetric P-wave down to the ground state decay modes. P-wave to P-wave (K-multiplet) radiative channels are determined in terms of *two* coupling constants which are $G_{M1}^{(K)}$ and $F_{E2}^{(K)}$. Other two couplings $G_{M1}^{(k)}$ and $F_{E2}^{(k)}$ are also required for transitions from the k-multiplet down to

S-wave states. Since quadrapole transitions are expected to dominate the decay rates, with respect to the higher multipoles, one ends up with only three couplings to describe S- and P-wave heavy baryon transitions.

The vanishing of the couplings $f_1^{(K)}$, $F_1^{(K)}$ and $G_1^{(K)}$, means that, to leading order in HQS and assuming one-body transition operators, $\Lambda_{Q1} \rightarrow \Lambda_Q$, $\Sigma_{Q1} \rightarrow \Lambda_Q$, $\Lambda_{Q1} \rightarrow \Lambda_{Q1}$ and $\Sigma_{Q1} \rightarrow \Sigma_{Q1}$ radiative decays are all forbidden. Physically, this means that the spins of the constituent light quarks are oriented such that a complete cancellation arises when both initial and final states are antisymmetric. This result is in partial agreement with predictions obtained within the bound state picture [9]. This model shows that $\Lambda_{c1} \rightarrow \Lambda_c$ radiative decays are suppressed while the corresponding bottom transitions are not. On the other hand the decay modes $\Sigma_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ \, \gamma$ seems not to be suppressed, which is in agreement with predictions use the HHCPT [8, 11].

4 Decay rates predictions

The heavy baryon radiative decay rates are expected to be saturated by contributions from transitions with the lowest multipolarity, namely $M1$ for the ground state and $E1$ for the orbitally excited states. Therefore, the determination of the couplings g_{M1}, $f_{E1}^{(K)}$ and $G_{M1}^{(K)}$ is crucial for the predictions of the electromagnetic decay rates of charmed and bottom baryons. With the limitations or absence of experimental data, in particular for S-wave transitions, one thus has to rely on specific theoretical models to determine these couplings, a task which will be postponed for future work. However, results of some phenomenological models can still be used to fix some of these couplings.

From light-cone QCD sum rules model [11], for instance, one predicts

$$\Gamma_{\Sigma_b \rightarrow \Lambda_b \gamma} = 0.29 \times 10^{-7} | \, \vec{q} \, |^3 \text{ MeV} \tag{20}$$

Using this result and the decay rate formula

$$\Gamma_{\Sigma_Q \to \Lambda_Q \gamma} = \frac{4}{3\pi} \mid g_{M1} \mid^2 \mid \vec{q} \mid^3 \frac{m_{\Lambda_b}}{m_{\Sigma_b}}, \tag{21}$$

one obtains

$$g_{M1} = 2.26 \times 10^{-4} \sqrt{\frac{m_{\Sigma_b}}{m_{\Lambda_b}}} \text{ MeV}^{-1}. \tag{22}$$

Taking the numerical values $m_{\Lambda_b} = 5624$ MeV and $m_{\Sigma_b} = m_{\Lambda_b} + 173$ MeV, as measured by DELPHI [21], we can determine the coupling $g_{M1} = 0.27 \times 10^{-3}$ MeV^{-1}. The $g_{\Sigma_Q \Lambda_Q \pi}$ coupling was calculated in [12] using a Light-front quark model. Taking this value for the strong coupling, a simple calculation shows that the branching fraction of this decay mode relative to that of the single pion transition is about 0.25%. The decay rate of the corresponding charmed baryons, on the other hand, are predicted to be

$$\Gamma_{\Sigma_c \to \Lambda_c \gamma} = 87 \text{ KeV}. \tag{23}$$

This value is lower than the one calculated in HHCPT [6] but a bit higher than that reported in [10]. Neglecting the E2 transitions, which are suppressed with respect to M1, and using the value of the coupling g_{M1} given by Eq. (22) we can estimate the decay rate

$$\Gamma_{\Sigma_b^{0*} \to \Sigma_b^0 \gamma} = 1.72 \text{ KeV}, \tag{24}$$

which agrees with light-cone QCD sum rules results [11]. One also can calculate the charmed baryon decay rate

$$\Gamma_{\Sigma_c^{+*} \to \Sigma_c^+ \gamma} = 0.19 \text{ KeV}. \tag{25}$$

We notice that this rate, which is very close to the one reported in [10], idicates that charmed baryon modes are suppressed by a factor of 10 with respect to the bottom ones.

The coupling $f_{E1}^{(K)}$ can be fixed from HHCPT predictions [9], which gives

$$\Gamma_{\Lambda_{Q1}\to\Sigma_Q\gamma} = 0.136 \mid c_{RS} \mid^2 \text{ MeV}, \tag{26}$$

here c_{RS} is the HHCPT coupling $g_{\Sigma\Lambda_{c1}\gamma}$. On the basis of dimensional analysis this coupling is expected to be of order unity, which is also supported by dynamical calculations [10]. Now using the decay rate formula

$$\Gamma_{\Lambda_{Q1}\to\Sigma_Q\gamma} = \frac{4}{9\pi} \mid f_{E1}^{(K)} \mid^2 \mid \vec{q} \mid^3 \frac{m_{\Lambda_{c1}}}{m_{\Sigma_c}}, \tag{27}$$

one gets

$$f_{E1}^{(K)} = 0.46 \times 10^{-3} \text{ MeV}^{-1}. \tag{28}$$

If we take $m_{\Lambda_{b1}} = 5900$ MeV and $m_{\Lambda_{b2}} = 5926$ MeV, as predicted in large-N_c limit [20], we find

$$\Gamma_{\Lambda_{b1}\to\Sigma_b\gamma} = 0.032 \text{ MeV and } \Gamma_{\Lambda_{b2}\to\Sigma_b\gamma} = 0.063 \text{ MeV}. \tag{29}$$

These prediction are at least a factor of two lower than the results obtained using a bound state picture [9]. For charmed baryons we predict

$$\Gamma_{\Lambda_{c1}(2593)\to\Sigma_c\gamma} = 0.071 \text{ MeV and } \Gamma_{\Lambda_{c1}(2593)\to\Sigma_c^*\gamma} = 0.011 \text{ MeV}, \tag{30}$$

and

$$\Gamma_{\Lambda_{c1}^*(2626)\to\Sigma_c\gamma} = 0.13 \text{ MeV and } \Gamma_{\Lambda_{c1}^*(2626)\to\Sigma_c^*\gamma} = 0.032 \text{ MeV}, \tag{31}$$

which are close to the RTQM results [10] but at least a factor of three larger than the bound state predictions [9].

From Eq. (15), with $m_u = m_d$ and using the appropriate values for the light quark charges in Eq. (14), we also predict the electromagnetic decay rates ratios $\Gamma_{\Sigma_c^{++}\to\Sigma_c^{++}} : \Gamma_{\Sigma_c^0\to\Sigma_c^0} : \Gamma_{\Sigma_c^+\to\Sigma_c^+}$ to be 16 : 4 : 1. The same ratios are also obtained for $\Gamma_{\Sigma_b^+\to\Sigma_b^+} : \Gamma_{\Sigma_b^-\to\Sigma_b^-} : \Gamma_{\Sigma_b^0\to\Sigma_b^0}$ which are in very good agreement with the ratios 2.2 : 0.54 : 0.14 obtained in the Light-cone QCD sum rules [11]. Furthermore, if one neglects the magnetic quadrapole transition, which is suppressed relative to the electric dipole contributions, the same ratios can be obtained for the corresponding decay rates of $\Sigma_{Q1} \to \Sigma_Q\ \gamma$, $\Sigma_{Q2} \to \Sigma_Q\ \gamma$, $\Lambda_{Q1} \to \Sigma_Q\ \gamma$ and $\Lambda_{Q2} \to \Sigma_Q\ \gamma$.

5 Conclusions

Radiative decays of heavy baryons have been investigated to leading order in HQS supplemented by of the $SU(2N_f) \times O(3)$ diquark symmetries. Neglecting quadrapole transitions, which are suppressed in the $m_Q \to \infty$ limit, only **three** couplings are needed to describe electromagnetic transitions of ground state and the lowest orbitally excited heavy baryon states. The decay rates and branching ratios of some radiative transitions for heavy baryons are predicted. Our results are in agreement with most of the other theoretical model calculations for both charmed and bottom baryons. We notice that simple quark model calculations and the use of other theoretical models, however, shows that many of the charmed baryon decay rates are suppressed with respect to the bottom once. All the allowed photon transitions among heavy baryon states can be predicted as soon as some more experimental data become available to fix these few effective couplings.

To conclude we would like to stress that, these predictions are leading order results and contributions from two-body and $\frac{1}{m_Q}$ corrections might be important, especially for charmed baryons. On the other hand our predictions for bottom baryons will receive a maximum of up to 10% contributions from the non-leading order. Since the CLEO, E781 experiments and some other facilities are expected to collect more data in the next few years, we are confident that they can measure some of the heavy baryon electromagnetic channels to confirm our constituent quark model predictions.

References

[1] G. Brandenburg et.al., CLEO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett **78**, 2304(1997); L. Gibbons et.al.,ibid , Phys. Rev. Lett **77**, 810(1996); K. W. Edwards et.al., ibid, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3331(1995); P. Avery, el.al., ibid, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4364(1995); P. L. Frabetti et.al, E687 Collaboration, Phys. Lett **B365**, 461(1996); ibid, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 961(1994); and H. Albrecht et.al., ARGUS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. **B317**, 227(1993).

[2] C. P. Jessop et. al., CLEO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett **82**, 492(1999).

[3] J. F. Amundson et. al., Phys. Lett. **B296**, 415(1992).

[4] M. Lu, M. J. Savage and J. Walden, Phys. Lett. **B369**, 337(1996).

[5] P. Cho and H. Georgi, Phys. Lett **B296**, 408(1992); and Phys. Lett **B300**, 410(1993)(Erratum).

[6] H. Y. Cheng et. al., Phys. Rev. **D47**, 1030(1993).

[7] M. Savage, Phys. Lett **B345**, 61(1995).

[8] P. Cho, Phys. Rev. **D50**, 3295(1994).

[9] C. K. Chow, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 3374(1996).

[10] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner, V. E. Lyubovitskij and A. G. Rusetsky, hep-ph/9904421; M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Lett. **B448**, 143(1999).

[11] S. L. Zho and Y-B Dai, Phys Rev D **59**, 114015(1999).

[12] Salam Tawfiq, Patrick J. O'Donnell and J.G. Körner, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 054010(1998); ibid, hep-ph/9805487 and Salam Tawfiq, Patrick J. O'Donnell, Phys. Rev **D60** , 014013(1999); ; F. Hussain, J.G. Körner and Salam Tawfiq, hep-ph/9909278.

[13] F. Hussain, J.G. Körner, J. Landgraf and Salam Tawfiq, Z. Phys. **C69**, 655(1996).

[14] F. Hussain, G. Thompson and J.G. Körner, preprint IC/93/314, MZ-TH/93-23 and hep-ph/9311309.

[15] J. G. Körner, M. Krämer and D. Pirjol, Progr. Part. Nucl. Phys., Vol. **33**, 787(1994).

[16] N. Isgur and G. Karl, Phys Rev. **D18**, 4187(1978); ibid, **D19**, 2653(1979).

[17] C. Carone, H. Georgi, L. Kaplan and D. Morin, Phys. Rev. **D50**, 5793(1994).

[18] D. Pirjol and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. **D57**, 1449(1998); ibid, 5434(1998).

[19] T. Mannel, Nucl. Phys. **B413**, 396(1994).

[20] C. K. Chow and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. **D50**, 2135(1994).

[21] M. Feindt, private communication. See also J. Franklin, Phys. Rev. **D55**, 423(1997).

Appendix
P-wave to P-wave Electromagnetic transitions

In this appendix, we shall follow section 2 and 3 to analyze P-wave to P-wave single-photon transitions. Neglecting transitions higher than quadrapole, which are small, HQS predicts that these transitions are determined in terms of fifteen independent couplings for each of the K- and k-multiplets. The appropriate and covariant decay matrix elements are summarized in Table (3). Employing the light diquark symmetry one can relate these couplings to only two constituent quark model couplings G_{M1} and F_{E2}. The one-body effective operators mediating these transitions among K-excited P-wave states are given by

$$\left(\mathcal{O}^{M1}_{\lambda\zeta}\right)^{\alpha\beta}_{\alpha'\beta'} = i\frac{G^{(K)}_{M1}}{2}\left(\mu_{q_1}(\sigma^{\delta\zeta})^{\alpha}_{\alpha'} \otimes (1\!\!1)^{\beta}_{\beta'} + \mu_{q_2}(1\!\!1)^{\alpha}_{\alpha'} \otimes (\sigma^{\delta\zeta})^{\beta}_{\beta'}\right) F_{\delta\lambda}, \tag{A.1}$$

and

$$\left(\mathcal{O}^{E2}_{\lambda\zeta}\right)^{\alpha\beta}_{\alpha'\beta'} = i\frac{F^{(K)}_{E2}}{2}\left(\mu_{q_1}(\sigma^{\delta\zeta})^{\alpha}_{\alpha'} \otimes (1\!\!1)^{\beta}_{\beta'} + \mu_{q_2}(1\!\!1)^{\alpha}_{\alpha'} \otimes (\sigma^{\delta\zeta})^{\beta}_{\beta'}\right) \left[q_\lambda F_{\eta\delta} v^\eta + (v\cdot q)\ F_{\delta\lambda}\right]. \tag{A.2}$$

Similar operators can be written for transitions among k-multiplet states with new coupling $G^{(k)}_{M1}$ and $F^{(k)}_{E2}$. It is important to mention that the effective operators given by Eq. (9) and Eqs. (11-13) and (A.1-A.2) are unique. This can be proved with the help of the relation [19]

$$\frac{1}{2}(\not{v}+1)\sigma^{\mu\nu}\frac{1}{2}(\not{v}+1) = v_\alpha \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\beta} s_\beta, \tag{A.3}$$

where s_β are the three spin vectors. The absence of experimental data as well as predictions using theoretical models makes it impossible, for the time being, to fix the two couplings $G^{(k)}_{M1}$ and $F^{(k)}_{E2}$. Therefore, we will not present any phenomenological values regarding the decay rates among P-wave states.

Table 3: Light-diquark matrix elements for P-wave to P-wave K-multiplet transitions, the heavy quark matrix elements $\mathcal{H}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{j_1}}_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{j_2}}(v)$ are similar to those in Table (3). Here, we have neglected transitions with $J_\gamma > 2$.

$B_{Qi} \to B^{'}_{Qi}\gamma$	Multipole state	$\mathcal{M}^{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{j_2}}_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{j_1}}(v,q)$
$\Lambda_{Q1} \to \Lambda_{Q1}$	$M1, E2$	$G_1^{(K)} F_{\alpha\beta} g^\alpha_\mu g^\beta_\nu +$ $F_1^{(K)} F_{\alpha\beta}(2q_\mu g^\alpha_\nu v^\beta + v \cdot q g^\alpha_\mu g^\beta_\nu)$
$\Sigma_{Q0} \to \Lambda_{Q1}$	$M1$	$iG_2^{(K)} \tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta} g_{\mu\alpha} v_\beta$
$\Sigma_{Q1} \to \Lambda_{Q1}$	$M1, E2$	$G_3^{(K)} F_{\alpha\beta} g^\alpha_\mu g^\beta_\nu +$ $F_3^{(K)} F_{\alpha\beta}(2q_\mu g^\alpha_\nu v^\beta + v \cdot q g^\alpha_\mu g^\beta_\nu)$
$\Sigma_{Q1} \to \Sigma_{Q0}$	$M1$	$iG_4^{(K)} \tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta} g_{\mu\alpha} v_\beta$
$\Sigma_{Q1} \to \Sigma_{Q1}$	$M1, E2$	$G_5^{(K)} F_{\alpha\beta} g^\alpha_\mu g^\beta_\nu +$ $F_5^{(K)} F_{\alpha\beta}(2q_\mu g^\alpha_\nu v^\beta + v \cdot q g^\alpha_\mu g^\beta_\nu)$
$\Sigma_{Q2} \to \Lambda_{Q1}$	$M1, E2$	$iG_6^{(K)} \tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta} g_{\mu_1\alpha} g_{\mu_2\nu} v_\beta +$ $iF_6^{(K)} \tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta}(2q_{\mu_1} g_{\mu_2\alpha} g_{\nu\beta} +$ $v \cdot q g_{\mu_1\nu} g_{\mu_2\alpha} v_\beta)$
$\Sigma_{Q2} \to \Sigma_{Q0}$	$E2$	$F_7^{(K)} F_{\alpha\beta} q_{\mu_1} g^\alpha_{\mu_2} v^\beta$
$\Sigma_{Q2} \to \Sigma_{Q1}$	$M1, E2$	$iG_8^{(K)} \tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta} g_{\mu_1\alpha} g_{\mu_2\nu} v_\beta +$ $iF_8^{(K)} \tilde{F}^{\alpha\beta}(2q_{\mu_2} g_{\mu_1\alpha} g_{\nu\beta} +$ $v \cdot q g_{\mu_2\nu} g_{\mu_1\alpha} v_\beta)$
$\Sigma_{Q2} \to \Sigma_{Q2}$	$M1, E2$	$G_9^{(K)} F_{\alpha\beta} g^\alpha_{\mu_1} g^\beta_{\nu_1} g_{\mu_2\nu_2} +$ $F_9^{(K)} F_{\alpha\beta}(2q_{\mu_1} g^\alpha_{\nu_1} g_{\mu_2\nu_2} v^\beta +$ $v \cdot q g^\alpha_{\mu_1} g^\beta_{\nu_1} g_{\mu_2\nu_2})$